



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,223	02/22/2002	Vladimir P. Torchilin	06558-004006	2707	
26161 7:	590 08/25/2004		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN ST			UNGAR, SUSAN NMN		
BOSTON, MA	· · · · ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1642		
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/081,22	10/081,223 TORCHILIN ET					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Susan Ung	gar	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHOTHE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the pely received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ation. ys, a reply within the statur y period will apply and will by statute. cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be ti tory minimum of thirty (30) da l expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cation to become ABANDON!	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commur ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status								
. 1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>10 May 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)	oxtimes This action is no	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	,						
5)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5]		,			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date	0/SB/08)	6) Other:	Fatent Application (FTO-152)	,			

Application/Control Number: 10/081,223 Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

1. Claims 8-12 are pending in the application and are currently under prosecution.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- 3. Claim 8 links inventions 1 and 2. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 8. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.
 - Group 1. Claims 8, 9, 11-12 are drawn to a method of inhibiting malignant cell growth in a mammal at risk for malignant cell growth comprising administering an amount of mammalian nucleosomes effective to elicit the production of sufficient ANA to inhibit malignant cell growth in the mammal classified in Class 514, subclass 2+.
 - Group 2. Claims 8, 10-12 are drawn to a method of inhibiting malignant cell growth in a mammal at risk for malignant cell growth comprising administering an amount of bacterial nucleosomes effective to

Art Unit: 1642

elicit the production of sufficient ANA to inhibit malignant cell growth in the mammal classified in Class 514, subclass 2+.

4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions 1-2 are materially distinct methods which differ at least in objectives, method steps, reagents and/or dosages and/or schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,223 Page 4

Art Unit: 1642

8. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Ungar, PhD whose telephone number is (571) 272-0837. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew, can be reached at (571) 272-0787. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Susan Ungar

Primary Patent Examiner

August 17, 2004